U.S. Measurement System



Imaging as a Biomarker: Standards for Change Measurements in Therapy

Breakout Area 5: Resources for Qualification of Imaging Systems: Benchmarking of Imaging Processing and Data Integration Tools and Related Statistical Methods

Day 1: Summary of "Big Picture Roadmapping – The What by When?"

Near, Mid and Long-Term Issues

Chair, Nicholas Petrick, PhD
Deputy Director, Division of Imaging and Applied Math
Director, Image Analysis Laboratory
Center for Devices and Radiological Health, FDA

A U.S. Measurement System Workshop September 14-15, 2006 National Institute of Standards and Technology

- 1. Large public datasets with data, imaging parameters and protocols in standard format
 - ☐ Impact of Success:
 - New and improved tools
 - Standardize/optimize annotation and truthing
 - New clinical understanding and insight
 - Better understanding of biological variability
 - Appropriate training/test data
 - How/when to sequester data
 - Many customers beyond MI/PhRMA companies
 - □ Technical Barriers:
 - Need to establish/unify measures of response
 - Effort by clinicians to provide nonstandard information
 - Defining truth and acquiring annotations
 - Need for different data for different diseases
 - Continuous effort to acquire data
 - Where/how to host data?
 - Support from patient, health insurance companies
 - ☐ Key Players: NIH, NEMA, RSNA, ACR, Clinical centers, PhRMA, NIST

Near-Term 1-3 Years

- 1. Phantoms for characterization of systems and tools
 - ☐ Impact of Success:
 - Improved harmonization of image protocols
 - Improved methodology for harmonizing output from various imaging systems or tools
 - Better understanding of avoidable bias and variability
 - Improve quantization of data
 - Metrics for measuring accuracy and precision of algorithms
 - □ Technical Barriers:
 - Different phantoms for different application
 - Different phantoms for qualification of single system, crossvalidation of multiple systems, QA applications, etc
 - Want to avoid a overly strict requirements
 - Lack of meaningful measures of performance
 - Added cost must balance value
 - ☐ Key Players: NIST, Academics community, AAPM, NEMA, PhRMA

Near-Term 1-3 Years

1. Human phantoms (rescan of the patient)

- Impact of Success:
 - Help in precision of the study
 - Identify sources of technical variability (controls for biological variability)
- □ Technical Barriers:
 - Ethics, may not be appropriate for all imaging or patients
 - Could increase time and expense for studies
- ☐ Key Players: NIST, MI vendors, FDA, Pharma

Near-Term 1-3 Years

- 1. Methods/tools for monitoring systems (imaging equipment) to detect, correct drift and detect of study flaws
 - Impact of Success:
 - Improved trial monitoring and control
 - Improve data quality by reducing unusable/unreadable data
 - □ Technical Barriers:
 - Set of automated tools would be ideal for this
 - May need additional scanner information
 - Key Players: Software companies, MI companies, Pharma, CRO, FDA

Very Near-Term 1-3 Years

- 1. Workshops to unify patient prep and imaging protocols
 - Impact of Success:
 - Reduced variability in clinical trials
 - Potential to improved diagnostic/screening imaging
 - ☐ Technical Barriers:
 - Human factor
 - Hard to get techs/MDs to change
 - This has been started and should be continued
 - ☐ Key Players: Clinical Societies, NEMA, PhRMA